ER 2019

Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

Unified Management of Multi-Model Data

Irena Holubová, <u>Martin Svoboda</u>, Jiaheng Lu svoboda@ksi.mff.cuni.cz

November 7, 2019

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic **University of Helsinki**, Helsinki, Finland

Introduction

Motivation

- Multi-model data
 - We often need to work with multiple logical models at the same time within a given application / information system
 - This brings a non-trivial complexity

Objective

- Illustrate the reasons for this complexity
 - Using practical examples
- Identify key challenging research areas
 - So that they can be appropriately figured out

Data Variety

- Logical models
 - Relational, key-value, wide column, document, graph, ...
- Data formats
 - XML or JSON for the document model
- Schemas
 - DTD or XML Schema schema languages
- Vocabularies
 - Names of XML elements or attributes
- Technologies
 - Databases, protocols, interfaces, ...
- Query languages
 - Syntax, constructs, expressive power

RDF Store

Sample RDF data in Turtle notation

Data about products, their names and other features

```
@prefix p: <http://www.myshop.cz/products/> .
@prefix c: <http://www.myshop.cz/countries/> .
@prefix i: <http://www.myshop.cz/schema#> .
@prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#> .
p:banana18 rdf:type i:Product ;
           i:name "Cavendish Banana" :
           i:producer c:India , c:Ecuador , c:China ;
           i:color "yellow" .
p:melon5 rdf:type i:Product ;
         i:name "Watermelon" :
         i:producer c:China , c:Turkey ;
         i:color "red" .
p:melon13 rdf:type i:Product ;
          i:name "Cantaloupe Melon" ;
          i:producer c:China , c:Iran ;
          i:color "orange" .
```

RDF Store

Sample SPARQL query

· Items produced in China or Egypt

```
PREFIX c: <a href="http://www.myshop.cz/countries/">http://www.myshop.cz/countries/</a>
PREFIX i: <a href="http://www.myshop.cz/schema#">http://www.myshop.cz/schema#>
PREFIX rdf: <a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#</a>
SELECT ?color ?product ?name
FROM <a href="http://www.myshop.cz/products">http://www.myshop.cz/products</a>
WHERE
      ?product rdf:type i:Product ;
                   i:name ?name ;
                   i:producer ?country ;
                   i:color ?color .
     FILTER (?country = c:China || ?country = c:Egypt)
ORDER BY ASC(?color) DESC(?name)
```

Relational Database

Sample relational data

Data about changes in the stock of products

product	date	time	quantity	unit
melon5	2019-08-15	13:45:00	150	kg
banana18	2019-08-15	13:45:30	50	kg
melon5	2019-08-15	15:15:00	-5	kg
melon5	2019-08-15	18:00:00	-2	kg
banana18	2019-08-15	18:30:00	-4	kg
melon13	2019-08-16	09:15:00	30	рс
melon5	2019-08-16	11:15:00	-2	kg
melon13	2019-08-16	11:15:00	-1	рс
banana18	2019-08-16	11:15:00	-2	kg

Relational Database

Sample SQL query

Overall quantities of sold items during August 2019

```
SELECT

product,

SUM(ABS(quantity)) AS sales,

unit

FROM

stock

WHERE

(YEAR(date) = 2019) AND (MONTH(date) = 8) AND

(quantity < 0)

GROUP BY

product, unit

ORDER BY

sales DESC, product ASC
```

JSON Database

Sample JSON data in MongoDB database

· Data about registered clients

```
{
    _id: "client32",
    name: { first: "Jane", last: "Williams" },
    age: 25,
    email: [ "jane@company.com", "williams@hotel.org" ]
}
```

```
{
    _id: "client26",
    name: { first: "Peter", last: "Smith" },
    age: 30,
    email: [ "peter@somewhere.net" ],
    phone: "+420 777 123 456",
    address: {
        street: "Long 35", city: "Prague", zip: "12116", country: "CZE"
    }
}
```

JSON Database

Sample MongoDB query

• Clients older than 20 years from Prague in the Czech Republic

```
db.clients.find(
    age: { $gt : 20 },
    address: {
      $elemMatch: { city: "Prague", country: "CZE" }
    id: false, name: true, address: true
).sort(
    name.last: 1, name.first: -1
```

XML Database

Sample XML data

Data about purchases made

```
<?xml version="1.1" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<orders>
 <order id="order105" date="2019-08-15" time="15:15:00">
    <client ref="client32">Jane Williams</client>
   <items>
     <item product="melon5" qty="5" unit="kg" name="Watermelon"/>
   </items>
 </order>
 <order id="order127" date="2019-08-16" time="11:15:00">
    <client ref="client26">Peter Smith</client>
   <items>
     <item product="melon5" qty="2" unit="kg" name="Watermelon"/>
     <item product="melon13" qty="1" unit="pc" name="Cantaloupe Melon"/>
     <item product="banana18" qty="2" unit="kg" name="Cavendish Banana"/>
    </items>
 </order>
</orders>
```

XML Database

Sample XQuery query

HTML table with statistics of sold products

```
<t.r>
   ProductNameQuantity
 for $product in distinct-values(/orders/order/items/item/@product)
   let $items := //item[@product = $product]
   let $quantity := sum($items/@qty)
   order by $quantity descending, $product ascending
   return
    element tr {
      { sproduct },
      { data(($items)[1]/@name) },
      { $quantity }
```

Graph Database

Sample property graph data in Neo4j database

Data about clients and their orders

```
(p1:PRODUCT { id: "banana18", name: "Cavendish Banana", color: "yellow" })
(p2:PRODUCT { id: "melon5", name: "Watermelon", color: "red" })
(p3:PRODUCT { id: "melon13", name: "Cantaloupe Melon", color: "orange" })

(c1:CLIENT { id: "client32", name: "Jane Williams", age: 25 })
(c2:CLIENT { id: "client26", name: "Peter Smith", age: 30 })

(c1)-[e1:PURCHASE { quantity: 5, unit: "kg" }]->(p2)
(c2)-[e2:PURCHASE { quantity: 2, unit: "kg" }]->(p1)
(c2)-[e3:PURCHASE { quantity: 2, unit: "kg" }]->(p2)
(c2)-[e4:PURCHASE { quantity: 1, unit: "pc" }]->(p3)
```

Graph Database

Sample Cypher query

 Names of clients with above average purchases of watermelons

```
MATCH (c:CLIENT)-[e:PURCHASE]->(p:PRODUCT)

WHERE p.id = "banana18"

WITH avg(e.quantity) AS average

MATCH (c:CLIENT)-[e:PURCHASE]->(p:PRODUCT { id: banana18" })

WHERE e.quantity > average

RETURN c.name

ORDER BY c.age DESCENDING, c.name ASCENDING
```

Existing Strategies

Polyglot persistence

- Different databases for different data models
- Accessed independently or using an integrating mediator
- E.g. DBMS+, BigDAWG

Multi-model databases

- One database for multiple different data models
- Provides a fully integrated backend
- More than 20 representatives
- E.g. OrientDB, ArangoDB, MarkLogic, Virtuoso, ...

Open Problems

Main issues of multi-model databases

- Specifics of the original underlying model
 - Existing solutions...
 - originate mainly from the IT industry
 - were originally single-model systems
 - only later were adapted to other models
 - and so are determined and limited by these models
- Support for true cross-model processing
 - Varies greatly
 - Query constructs, index structures, query optimization, ...
- Lack of necessary formal background
 - Data model itself
 - Syntax and semantics of the query language

Key Challenges

Only too many models, formats, technologies, query languages, ...

- ⇒ only too high complexity
- ⇒ not sustainable in long-term perspective
- ⇒ unification is essential

Challenging areas

- Conceptual modeling
- Schema inference
- Unified querying
- Evolution management
- Autonomous systems

Conceptual Modeling

Existing top-down approaches

- UML
 - Standardized, but data-oriented and concealing details
- ER
 - Several notations, constructs better grasping the real-world

Observations

- Common principles but also specifics of individual models
- Links between distinct models
 - I.e. foreign keys, pointers, references, ...

Objectives

- Unified conceptual modeling approach for multi-model data
- Mapping rules and transformations for individual models

Schema Inference

Levels of schema support

- Schema-full
 - Description of data structure is provided explicitly
 - Its requirements must be satisfied
- Schema-less
 - Schema is neither provided nor required
 - However, in reality, implicit schema exists nevertheless

Observations

- Possible extensions of single-model solutions
 - Not straightforward because of the links
 - We also want near real-world schemas

Objective

Universal multi-model schema inference method

Unified Querying

Existing query languages

- Standardized, proprietary
- Single-model, attempts of multi-model languages
- Expressive power varies greatly
- Specifics, but also common principles
 - Tables as results in SQL but also SPARQL or Cypher
 - Statement clauses
 - Names and purpose often inspired by SQL
 - Structure often fixed, almost arbitrary chaining in Cypher
 - Sub-query expressions in SQL or SPARQL
 - Functional querying in XPath or XQuery

Objective

Unified conceptual query language for multi-model data

Evolution Management

Observations

- Structure of data can change in time
 - ⇒ impact on data instances, indices, query expressions, ...
- Manual approach
 - Skilled database administrators required
 - Error-prone job
 - Existing single-model solutions
 - Can be used for intra-model changes
 - Cannot be easily extended to inter-model changes

Objectives

- Multi-model data evolution management framework
- Operations for semi-automatic propagation of changes

Autonomous Systems

We can go even one step further...

- ...towards autonomous management of data
 - Selection of suitable logical models
 - Handling of data transformations
 - Evolution of data changes
 - Etc.
- It should be the responsibility of the database system itself to find the best way how data should be organized

Objective

Autonomous multi-model database management technique

Conclusion

Variety of multi-model data

 Logical models, data formats, schemas, vocabularies, database representatives, query languages

Identified challenges for unified processing of multi-model data

- Conceptual modeling
- Schema inference
- Unified querying
- Evolution management
- Autonomous systems

General requirements

Formal background, practical impact, user-friendliness

Thank you for your attention...