#### NDBI040: Modern Database Concepts

http://www.ksi.mff.cuni.cz/~svoboda/courses/191-NDBI040/

## Advanced Aspects

Lecturer: Martin Svoboda, author: Irena Holubová svoboda@ksi.mff.cuni.cz

17. 12. 2019

Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics

### Graph Databases A bit of theory

- Data: a set of entities and their relationships
  - $\hfill\square$  e.g., social networks, travelling routes,  $\dots$
  - We need to efficiently represent graphs
- Basic operations: finding the neighbours of a node, checking if two nodes are connected by an edge, updating the graph structure, ...

We need efficient graph operations

#### • G = (V, E) is commonly modelled as

- $\hfill\square$  set of nodes (vertices)  $\hfill V$
- □ set of edges *E*

 $\square$  n = |V|, m = |E|

Which data structure should be used?

## **Adjacency Matrix**

Bi-dimensional array A of n x n Boolean values
 Indexes of the array = node identifiers of the graph
 The Boolean junction A<sub>ij</sub> of the two indices indicates whether the two nodes are connected

#### Variants:

- Directed graphs
- Weighted graphs

□ ...

## **Adjacency Matrix**



Pros:

- □ Adding/removing edges
- Checking if two nodes are connected
- Cons:
  - Quadratic space with respect to n
    - We usually have sparse graphs → lots of 0 values
  - $\hfill\square$  Addition of nodes is expensive
  - Retrieval of all the neighbouring nodes takes linear time with respect to n

## Adjacency List

 A set of lists where each accounts for the neighbours of one node

□ A vector of *n* pointers to adjacency lists

- Undirected graph:
  - An edge connects nodes i and j => the list of neighbours of i contains the node j and vice versa
- Often compressed
  - Exploitation of regularities in graphs, difference from other nodes, ...

## Adjacency List



- $N1 \rightarrow \{N2, N3\}$
- N2 → {N1, N3, N5}
- N3 → {N1, N2, N5}
- N4 → {N2, N6}

N5 → {N2, N3}

 $N6 \rightarrow \{N4\}$ 

Pros:

- Obtaining the neighbours of a node
- Cheap addition of nodes to the structure
- More compact representation of sparse matrices

#### Cons:

- Checking if there is an edge between two nodes
  - Optimization: sorted lists => logarithmic scan, but also logarithmic insertion

### **Incidence** Matrix

- Bi-dimensional Boolean matrix of *n* rows and *m* columns
  - □ A column represents an edge
    - Nodes that are connected by a certain edge
  - □ A row represents a node
    - All edges that are connected to the node

### **Incidence** Matrix



pros:

 For representing hypergraphs, where one edge connects an arbitrary number of nodes

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Cons:

 $\Box$  Requires *n x m* bits

## Laplacian Matrix

- Bi-dimensional array of *n x n* integers
  Diagonal of the Laplacian matrix indicates the degree of the node
  The rest of positions are set to -1 if the two
  - vertices are connected, 0 otherwise

### Laplacian Matrix



#### Pros:

- Allows analyzing the graph structure by means of spectral analysis
  - Calculates the eigenvalues



#### Graph Traversals Single Step

- Single step traversal from element *i* to element *j*, where *i*, *j* ∈ (V ∪ E)
- Expose explicit adjacencies in the graph
  - $\Box e_{out}$ : traverse to the outgoing edges of the vertices
  - $\Box$   $e_{in}$ : traverse to the incoming edges of the vertices
  - $\Box$  v<sub>out</sub>: traverse to the outgoing vertices of the edges
  - $\Box$   $v_{in}$ : traverse to the incoming vertices of the edges
  - $\Box e_{lab}$ : allow (or filter) all edges with the label
  - $\Box \in$  : get element property values for key r
  - $\Box$   $e_p$ : allow (or filter) all elements with the property s for key r
  - $\Box \in =:$  allow (or filter) all elements that are the provided element

#### Graph Traversals Composition

 Single step traversals can compose complex traversals of arbitrary length

□ e.g., find all friends of Alberto

"Traverse to the outgoing edges of vertex *i* (representing Alberto), then only allow those edges with the label *friend*, then traverse to the incoming (i.e. head) vertices on those *friend*-labeled edges.
 Finally, of those vertices, return their *name* property."

$$f(i) = (\in^{name} \circ v_{in} \circ e_{lab}^{friend} \circ e_{out})(i)$$

## Improving Data Locality

- Idea: take into account computer architecture in the data structures to reach a good performance
  - The way data is laid out physically in memory determines the locality to be obtained
  - Spatial locality = once a certain data item has been accessed, the nearby data items are likely to be accessed in the following computations
    - e.g., graph traversal
- Strategy: in graph adjacency matrix representation, exchange rows and columns to improve the cache hit ratio

# Breadth First Search Layout (BFSL)

- Trivial algorithm
- Input: sequence of vertices of a graph
- Output: a permutation of the vertices which obtains better cache performance for graph traversals
- BFSL algorithm:
  - 1. Selects a node (at random) that is the origin of the traversal
  - 2. Traverses the graph following a breadth first search algorithm, generating a list of vertex identifiers in the order they are visited
  - 3. Takes the generated list and assigns the node identifiers sequentially
- Pros: optimal when starting from the selected node
- Cons: starting from other nodes

### Bandwidth of a Matrix

#### ■ Graphs ↔ matrices

Locality problem = minimum bandwidth problem

Bandwidth of a row in a matrix = the maximum distance between nonzero elements, with the condition that one is on the left of the diagonal and the other on the right of the diagonal

Bandwidth of a matrix = maximum of the bandwidth of its rows

- Matrices with low bandwidths are more cache friendly
  Non zero elements (edges) are clustered across the diagonal
  - Non zero elements (edges) are clustered across the diagonal
- Bandwidth minimization problem (BMP) is NP hard
  For large matrices (graphs) the solutions are only approximated



1	0 1 0 0 1 0 1	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1



## Cuthill-McKee (1969)

 Popular bandwidth minimization technique for sparse matrices



- Re-labels the vertices of a matrix according to a sequence, with the aim of a heuristically guided traversal
- Algorithm:
  - 1. Node with the first identifier (where the traversal starts) is the node with <u>the smallest degree</u> in the whole graph
  - 2. Other nodes are labeled sequentially as they are visited by BFS traversal
    - $\hfill\square$  In addition, the heuristic prefers those nodes that have the smallest degree

## **Graph Partitioning**

- Some graphs are too large to be fully loaded into the main memory of a single computer
  - Usage of secondary storage degrades the performance of graph applications
  - Scalable solution <u>distributes</u> the graph on multiple computers
- We need to partition the graph reasonably
  - □ Usually for particular (set of) operation(s)
  - □ The shortest path, finding frequent patterns, BFS, spanning tree search, ...

# One and Two Dimensional Graph Partitioning

- Aim: partitioning the graph to solve <u>BFS</u> more efficiently
  - Distributed into shared-nothing parallel system
  - □ Partitioning of the <u>adjacency matrix</u>

#### 1D partitioning

- Matrix rows are randomly assigned to the P nodes (processors) in the system
- Each vertex and the edges emanating from it are owned by one processor



# One and Two Dimensional Graph Partitioning

#### BFS with 1D partitioning

- Input: starting node s having level 0
- Output: every vertex v becomes labeled with its level, denoting its distance from the starting node
- 1. Each processor has a set of frontier vertices F
  - At the beginning it is node s where the BFS starts
- 2. The edge lists of the vertices in *F* are merged to form a set of neighbouring vertices *N* 
  - Some owned by the current processor, some by others
- Messages are sent to all other processors to (potentially) add these vertices to their frontier set F for the next level
  - A processor may have marked some vertices in a previous iteration => ignores messages regarding them

## One and Two Dimensional Graph Partitioning

#### 2D partitioning

- Processors are logically arranged in an R x C processor mesh
- Adjacency matrix is divided C block columns and R x C block rows
- Each processor owns C blocks
- Note: 1D partitioning = 2D partitioning with C = 1 (or R = 1)
- Consequence: each node communicates with at most R + C nodes instead of all P nodes
  - $\hfill\square$  In step 2 a message is sent to all processors in the same row
  - □ In step 3 a message is sent to all processors in the same column



Partitioning of vertices: Processor (i, j) owns vertices corresponding to block row  $(j-1) \times R + i$ 

$$A_{i,j}^{(*)}$$

= block owned by processor (i,j)



## Types of Graphs

#### Single-relational

Edges are homogeneous in meaning

e.g., all edges represent friendship

#### Multi-relational (property) graphs

#### Edges are typed or labeled

- e.g., friendship, business, communication
- Vertices and edges in a property graph maintain a set of key/value pairs
  - Representation of non-graphical data (properties)
  - e.g., name of a vertex, the weight of an edge

## **Graph Databases**

- A graph database = a set of graphs
- Types of graphs:
  - Directed-labeled graphs
    - e.g., XML, RDF, traffic networks
  - Undirected-labeled graphs
    - e.g., social networks, chemical compounds
- Types of graph databases:
  - Non-transactional = few numbers of very large graphs
    - e.g., Web graph, social networks, ...
  - Transactional = large set of small graphs
    - e.g., chemical compounds, biological pathways, linguistic trees each representing the structure of a sentence...

### Transactional Graph Databases Types of Queries

#### Sub-graph queries

- Searches for a specific pattern in the graph database
- $\hfill\square$  A small graph or a graph, where some parts are uncertain
  - e.g., vertices with wildcard labels
- More general type: sub-graph isomorphism

#### Super-graph queries

- Searches for the graph database members of which their whole structures are <u>contained</u> in the input query
- Similarity (approximate matching) queries
  - □ Finds graphs which are <u>similar</u>, but not necessarily isomorphic to a given query graph
  - Key question: how to measure the similarity





#### Sub-graph Query Processing Mining-Based Graph Indexing Techniques

- Idea: if features of query graph q do not exist in data graph G, then G cannot contain q as its sub-graph
- Graph-mining methods extract selected features (sub-structures) from the graph database members
  - An inverted index is created for each feature
- Answering a sub-graph query q:
  - 1. Identifying the set of features of q
  - 2. Using the inverted index to retrieve all graphs that contain the same features of q
- Cons:
  - Effectiveness depends on the quality of mining techniques to effectively identify the set of features
  - Quality of the selected features may degrade over time (after lots of insertions and deletions)
    - Re-identification and re-indexing must be done

#### Sub-graph Query Processing Non Mining-Based Graph Indexing Techniques

- Focus on indexing whole constructs of the graph database
  - Instead of indexing only some selected features
- Cons:
  - □ Can be less effective in their pruning (filtering) power
  - May need to conduct expensive structure comparisons in the filtering process
- Pros:
  - Can handle graph updates with less cost
    - Do not rely on the effectiveness of the selected features
    - Do not need to rebuild whole indexes

## **Graph Similarity Queries**

- Find sub-graphs in the database that are similar to query q
  - Allows for node mismatches, node gaps, structural differences, …
- Usage: when graph databases are noisy or incomplete
  - Approximate graph matching query-processing techniques can be more useful and effective than exact matching

Key question: how to measure the similarity?