

MOTIVATION

- & Key, pointer pairs ~ index
- & Join on multiple query conditions
- 🗞 Spatial data
 - We focus only on intersection joins = we want to join objects that intersect
 - & E.g. all pairs of rivers and cities that intersect



NON-SPATIAL JOIN

Most of the standard relational join algorithms are not suitable for spatial data, because the join condition involves multidimensional spatial attribute

🙋 Nested loop join

The only of the standard relational join algorithms applicable also to spatial data

🗞 Sort-merge join

Multi-dimensional data do not preserve proximity, so this method (used as is) is not applicable to spatial data

🙋 Hash join

Equi-joins (joins on quality) rely on grouping objects with the same value, which is not possible for spatial objects since these have an extent



SPATIAL JOIN

Given two sets of **multi-dimensional objects** in Euclidean space, a spatial join finds **all pairs** of objects **satisfying** a given **spatial relation** between the objects, such as intersection

Spatial overlay join (general spatial join)

- 2 The data set can consist of **general spatial objects** (points, lines, polygons)
- 🗞 The data sets can have **more than two dimensions**
- 2 The relation between pairs of spatial objects can be any spatial relation
 - & Nearness, enclosure, direction, ...
- X There can be more sets in the relation (**multiway spatial join**) or one set joined with itself (**self spatial join**)



SPATIAL JOIN

Our focus: Simplified spatial join

& Given two sets of rectangles R and S, find all of the pairs of intersecting rectangles between the two sets, i.e. $\{(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}): r \cap s \neq \emptyset, r \in R, s \in S\}$



FILTER-REFINE POLICY

- ℵ The objects to be searched for can be very complex
 - X Testing of the join condition (spatial predicate) itself can be highly time consuming
 - 💥 Not many objects fit into main memory
- & Spatial objects are approximated using simple spatial objects
 - ∑ Like in R-trees where we used MBRs

Filter

& The spatial join is conducted using the objects' approximations => candidate set

Refine

2 Pairs which pass the filter are tested for the spatial predicate using their full spatial representation



APPROXIMATIONS

- X The most common approximation is minimum bounding rectangle (MBR) the smallest rectangle fully enclosing a given object whose sides are parallel to the axes.
- 2 Dead space is the amount of space covered by the approximation but not the approximated object
- Large dead space areas can lead to a higher false hit rate in the filtering stage, so the approximation should aim to minimize dead space









APPROXIMATIONS

Conservative

- & Every point of the object is also point of the approximation
- MBR, minimum bounding polygon, minimum bounding circle/ellipse,
- & Can have false positives



Progressive

- & Every point of the approximation is also point of the object
- & Maximum nested rectangles, circles, ...
- & Can have false negatives = we do not find all intersections





APPROXIMATIONS : OBJECT DECOMPOSITION

- & Minimizes dead space by decomposing an object into disjoint fragments with their own MBRs
- & After refine step and extra filtering stage is needed to remove duplicities



