

Multidimensional Indexing : Introduction

MOTIVATION

- How to search effectively in more than one dimension?
 We know 1D
- & How to represent spatial object in the database?
- 🗞 Single Dimension-Based Indexing has an issue with locality

- Multidimensional indexes focus on storing spatial objects in such a way that objects close to each other in the space are also close in the structure and on the disk, i.e., maintain locality
 - 2 We will now focus on basics not the secondary memory as before



OBJECTS APPROXIMATIONS

- & General spatial objects are more complex than simple points
- To easily represent a possibly complex spatial object, we use approximation expressed by (Minimum) Bounding Rectangle/cube/box/object (MBR)
 - 2D: rectangle
- & Comparison of objects is reduced to the comparison of their MBRs
 - 2 Pros and cons





GRID-BASED INDEXING

- **N**-dimensional grid covers the space and is not dependent on the data distribution in any way
 - X The grid is formed in advance
 - We anchor the data
- & Every point object can be addressed by the grid address
- Objects distribution in the grid does not have to be uniform → retrieval times for different grid cells may differ substantially for different parts of the space



QUAD-TREE

- & Finkel, Bentley; 1974
- & Tree structure representing recursive splitting of a space into quadrants
 - & Quad = quadrant (4 regions)
- & Each node has from zero to four children
- & Typically the regions are squares
 - & Any arbitrary shape is possible



K-D-TREE

- & <u>Bentley; 1975</u>
- 2 Problem: quad tree can be unbalanced
- Objects in *k*-dimensional space
- Binary search tree where inner nodes consist of a point, an axis identification (hyperplane in *d*-dimensions), and two pointers
- Inner nodes correspond to hyperplanes splitting space into two parts where the location of the hyper plane is defined by the point





K-D-TREE : EXAMPLE







K-D-B-TREE

- & <u>Robinson; 1981</u>
- **Problem: k-d-tree is designed for main memory**
 - What if it does not fit there?
- ο Combination of K-D-Tree and B-Tree
- & Each tree node is stored as a page, but unlike B-trees 50% utilisation can not be guaranteed
- & Each inner node contains multiple split axes to fill the node's capacity
- & Leaf nodes contain indexed records (points)
 - & Like in redundant Β-trees
- 🗞 Splitting and merging happens analogously to B-trees





