Performance tuning tools

Performance tuning techniques

- Optimizing the entire program is unnecessary work
 - 90:10 or even 99:1 rule
- Optimize only the hotspots
 - Pragmatic definition:
 - Hotspot is the code where optimization has the greatest impact wrt. its cost
 - Problems:
 - The cost of optimization (the human effort needed) may be wildly variable
 - But it is probably proportional to the size of the hotspot code
 - The effect of optimization (the time saved) is difficult to predict
 - The upper bound of the effect is the total time spent in the hotspot
 - Approximate definition:
 - Hotspot is the code where total time divided by code size is largest
 - Total or self time?
 - Do we include the time spent in the procedures called from the hotspot?
 - If we do, the hotspot itself must be extended to these procedures too
 - We can hardly shrink the time spent in a procedure without changing its code
 - Procedure integration by compiler will often decide

Instrumentation

- Modifying the program to measure itself
- > Performed by a compiler on intermediate code or by a tool on binary code
- The additional code significantly disrupts the program
 - It makes sense to measure only unaffected quantities
- Profile: number of passes through important points in the program
 - Basic blocks (transitions between them)
 - Procedures
 - Procedures including mutual calls
- Profile driven optimization
 - The compiler uses the previously measured profile
 - to determine which parts of the program to optimize
 - to estimate the effect of some optimizations

Sampling

- The unmodified program is launched
- At appropriately selected moments, the current position is recorded
 - the instruction pointer
 - optionally, the calling procedure or a part of the call stack
- The sampling moments must be
 - Sparse enough to not affect program execution
 - Dense enough to produce statistically significant data
 - Correlated with the program execution in a well-defined way
 - Independent random sampling (approximation: periodic sampling)
 - Dependent on selected events (number of executed instructions, memory accesses, etc.)
- Some parts (maybe a majority) of the code will never be hit by sampling
 - Sampling naturally prefers frequently executed code the hotspots
 - Sampling is not accurate enough to pinpoint individual instructions
 - But averaging across a loop will work

Techniques for measuring program behavior

Sampling

- Event generation techniques
 - "Software" timer interrupt
 - It requires more frequent interrupts than the usual OS timer setting
 - Periodic interrupts may not be statistically independent of program execution
 - "Hardware" profiling support in the CPU
 - The CPU generates an internal interrupt when the preset number of events is reached
 - Events: Clock ticks, instructions, memory accesses, branch misprediction, ...
 - Only few types of events may be measured simultaneously
 - The program may be rerun with different event setting
 - The profiling software may frequently change the setup during one execution
- Sample recording techniques
 - "Software" the record is created by the interrupt handler
 - "Hardware" the record is created by the CPU (by writing into memory)
 - Allows for more frequent sampling
 - Does not allow call-stack exploration
 - Does not allow randomization of the sampling period
 - In both cases, the record may be misplaced by few instructions

Instrumentation

- Accurate measurement of (somewhat) distorted execution
- Some compiler support usually required
- No HW or OS support needed
- Sampling
 - Approximate measurement of (almost) true behavior
 - Compiler support not required
 - Debugging information needed to understand results
 - Possible without any HW support
 - CPU support improves accuracy and adds new events
 - Understanding CPU-specific events is difficult
 - OS kernel support always required
 - Manipulating timer interrupt and/or setting-up the CPU support
 - Handling the timer/sampling interrupt