Requirements and concepts

Validity of templates

- Templates are checked for validity
  - On definition: syntactic correctness, correctness of independent names
    - Not required by the language specification but supported by rules
  - On instantiation: All rules of the language

  - A template does not have to be correct for all combinations of arguments
    - It would be impossible in most cases
    - Compilers check the correctness only for the arguments used in an instantiation
      - Templates are difficult to test
    - Before C++20, there was no mechanism to specify requirements on template arguments
      - Trial-and-error approach (see SFINAE for advanced misuse)
      - Unreadable error messages when a template is incorrectly used
    - C++20 introduces ***requires*** *clauses* and ***concepts*** for constraining template arguments
      - They also assist in template function overload resolution (like SFINAE, unlike static_assert)

  - Instantiation of a class template does not invoke instantiation of all members
    - A valid class template instance may contain invalid member functions
      - Example: copy-constructor of vector<unique_ptr<T>>

[C++20] Requires clauses

- A **requires-clause** acts as a constraint on template parameters
  - Evaluated by the compiler in the moment of template instantiation

```
template< typename IT, typename F>
requires std::is_invocable_v<F, std::iter_reference_t<IT>>
F for_each( IT a, IT b, F f);
```

  - In this case, the **requires** clause contains a constexpr bool expression
  - [C++20] std::iter_reference_t is the type returned by the * operator on an iterator
    - Implemented directly through **decltype**
    - std::iterator_traits<IT>::reference is no longer needed
  - [C++17] std::is_invocable_v is a variable template defined as

```
template< typename F, typename ... ArgTypes>
inline constexpr bool is_invocable_v = is_invocable< F, ArgTypes...>::value;
```

    - std::is_invocable is a class template defined to look like this:

```
template< typename F, typename ... ArgTypes> class is_invocable
{ static constexpr bool value = /*...*/; };
```

    - the actual implementation uses partial specialization and other advanced tricks

[C++20] Requires clauses

- A **requires-clause** acts as a constraint on template parameters

```
template< typename IT, typename F>
requires std::is_invocable_v<F, std::iter_reference_t<IT>>
F for_each( IT a, IT b, F f);
```

  - If violated, this function declaration will be ignored during overload resolution
    - Most likely, the result will be "no function declaration matches the call“
      - In general, there may be another declaration of the function that matches well
    - This indicates that the problem is not inside the implementation of for_each
  - For non-function templates, the violation will directly trigger an error message
  - The requires clause also acts as documentation

    - Note: The implementation of for_each probably contains the expression f(*a)
      - The requires-clause essentially checks whether this expression is correct
    - If the requires clause were not present
      - Template instantiation would fail due to the expression f(*a)
      - It would fail after overload resolution, not before (as with SFINAE or requires)
      - The error message would point to the expression inside the implementation

[C++20] Concepts

- A ***concept*** is, logically, a Boolean function whose arguments are types, templates or constants
  - In many cases, there is just one *typename* argument
  - Evaluated by the compiler
  - Note: C++14 already has a construct with the same underlying logic:

```
template< typename T> inline constexpr bool is_reference_v = /*...*/;
```

    - The difference is in some syntactic sugar associated with concepts
    - Concepts may be defined using bool constants but not (easily) the other way round

[C++20] Concepts

- Definition of a concept:
  - A **concept** may be defined using a **requires-expression**

```
template< typename T> concept Dereferencable = requires (T x) { *x; };
```

    - In this case, the **requires-expression** states that the expression *x must be semantically valid for any x of type T

```
template< typename F, typename ... AL> concept Callable
    = requires (F f, AL ... al) { f(al ...); };
```

  - A **concept** may also be defined using other concepts or constant Boolean expressions, including combining by && and || operators

```
template< typename T> concept Reference = std::is_reference_v<T>;

template< typename T> concept ConstReference =
    Reference<T> && std::is_const_v< std::remove_reference_t< T>>;
```

    - In this context, && and || operators are well-defined even for erroneous operands
      - If remove_reference_t is not defined for T, the result is false
      - Negation is not supported here - it would not be consistent with the handling of errors

[C++20] Concepts

- Concepts used with all arguments explicit
  - In the **requires-clause**

```
template< typename IT, typename F>
requires Iterator<IT> && Callable<F, std::iter_reference_t< IT>>
void for_each( IT a, IT b, F f);
```

  - In the definition of other **concepts**

```
template< typename IT>
concept Iterator = Dereferenceble<IT> && Incrementable<IT>;
```

- Concepts used with the first argument implicitly inferred from the context
  - Instead of **typename** in template parameter declaration
    - The first argument of the concept is the type being declared here

```
template< Iterator IT, Callable<typename IT::reference> F>
void for_each( IT a, IT b, F f);
```

    - Just a syntactic sugar equivalent to a **requires** clause
  - In **auto** declarations

```
Iterator auto it = k.find(x);
```

    - Triggers an error if the return type of find does not satisfy Iterator

```
[](Iterator auto it){ return *it; }
```

    - Produces a **requires** clause in the generated template operator()
  - In type-checking requirements inside a **requires-expression**

```
template< typename IT> concept SubtractableIterator =
requires (IT a, IT b) { {a-b} -> std::convertible_to<std::ptrdiff_t>; }
```

    - Invokes the concept std::convertible_to<decltype(a-b), std::ptrdiff_t>

[C++20] Concepts

- Example

```
template< typename K, typename V> concept StackOf
requires (K k, V v) {
    {k.push(v)} -> std::same_as<void>;
    {k.top()} noexcept -> std::convertible_to< V>;
    {k.pop()} -> std::same_as<void>;
};
template< typename K> concept Stack
requires {
    typename K::value_type;
    requires StackOf<K, typename K::value_type>;
};
```

[C++20] Concepts

- Advantages of concepts
  - Explicit and systematic statement of requirements
  - Understandable diagnostic messages
  - Requires clause participates in overload resolution (SFINAE no longer required)
    - Unlike a static_assert inside the template
- Adoption of concepts in standard library
  - Previously existing parts of library are not upgraded to use concepts
  - Some new parts like std::ranges are heavily dependent on concepts
  - There are some generally usable concepts defined in <concepts>
    - Often equivalent to previously existing traits in <type_traits> etc.
    - Example: std::same_as does the same as std::is_same_v