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## Data Variety

Structure of data

- Logical models
- Relational, key/value, wide column, document, graph, ...
- Data formats
- XML or JSON for the document model, ...
- Schemas
- DTD or XML Schema schema languages, ...
- Vocabularies
- Names of XML elements or attributes, ...

Other aspects

- Technologies: implementations, interfaces, protocols, ...
- Query languages: syntax, constructs, expressive power


## Database Systems

Traditional approach

- Relational databases
- Primary option for decades
- Alternatives
- Native XML databases, RDF stores, ...


## NoSQL databases

- Core models
- Key/value, wide column, document, graph
- Finding the best model respecting the nature of data / queries
- Not always possible


## Multi-model databases

- Multiple models supported within just a single system


## Sample Database

## Multi-model scenario

relational table $T$

| customer | name | address | credit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mary | $\ldots$ | 3000 |
| 2 | Anne | $\ldots$ | 2000 |
| 3 | John | $\ldots$ | 5000 |

wide-column table $w$

| customer | orders |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $[220,230,270, \ldots]$ |
| 2 | $[10,217]$ |
| 3 | $[370,214,94,137]$ |

property graph G


## document collection D

\{ order: 220,
paid: true, items: [
\{ product: T1, name : toy, price: 200, quantity: 2$\}$,
\{ product: B4, name : book, price : 150, quantity : 1 \} ] \}
key/value pairs $k$

| customer <br> 1 | cart |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2} \longrightarrow$ | product: T1, name: toy, quantity: 2 <br> product: B4, name: book, quantity: 1 |

## Multi-Model Databases

Multi-model databases

- One database for several different data models at a time
- Provides a fully integrated backend
- More than 20 representatives
- E.g.: OrientDB, ArangoDB, MarkLogic, Virtuoso, ...

Issues and challenges

- Underlying models
- Number of supported models, non-equal roles, ...
- Cross-model processing
- Links between the models, querying, indexing, ...
- Formal background
- Proprietary solutions (often not well documented)


## Paper Objectives

Formal unifying framework is necessary

- Solid theoretical background
- But still user-friendly enough


## Our objective

- Survey of existing approaches that could be exploited
- Conceptual modeling
- Data representation
- Integrity constraints
- Evolution management
- ...


## Conceptual Modeling

## ER (Entity-Relationship model)

- Entity types, relationship types, attributes, identifiers, ...

- Not standardized, various notations, structured attributes, identifiers for relationship types, participants of weak relationship types, non-unique or ordered values, ...


## Conceptual Modeling

## UML (Unified Modeling Language)

- Classes, associations, attributes, ...

- Standardized, data oriented (conceals details such as weak entity types), ...


## Data Representation

## NoAM (NoSQL Abstract Model)

- Data model
- Database = set of collections, each with a unique name
- Collection = set of blocks, each with a unique identifier
- Block = set of entries, each with a unique key
- Entry = key / value pair, values can be simple or complex
- Different strategies
- Entry per Aggregate Object / Entry per Top-Level Field


| 220 | paid | true |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| items $[\{$ product : T1, $\ldots\}]$ |  |  |

- Aggregate-oriented models only (key/value, wide column, document), considered separately


## Data Representation

## Associative Arrays

- 2-dimensional matrix
- $A: K_{1} \times K_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$
- Mapping from row and column keys to values
relational table $T$

|  | name | address | credit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mary | $\ldots$ | 3000 |
| 2 | Anne | $\ldots$ | 2000 |
| 3 | John | $\ldots$ | 5000 |

property graph G

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

document collection D

|  | order | paid | items/product | items/name | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 220 | true |  |  |  |
| $001 / 001$ |  |  | T1 | toy |  |
| $001 / 002$ |  |  | B4 | book |  |

- Not straightforward for all models, matrix operations


## Integrity Constraints

OCL (Object Constraint Language)

- Constructs
- Pre-conditions and post-conditions for methods and operations
- Rules for initial or derived values of attributes
- Invariants = assertions data instances must satisfy
- Example
- Each order must have at least one ordered item
- context Customer inv :

$$
\text { self.Orders->forAll( o | o.Items->size() >= } 1 \text { ) }
$$

- Observations
- Complex, conceptual layer


## Evolution Management

## DaemonX

- Evolution management framework
- Platform-independent model (PIM)
- Individual single model platform-specific models (PSMs)
- Schema, operational, and extensional levels
- Correct and complete propagation of evolution changes

- Without inter-model links, without cross-model queries


## Broader Generalization

## Category theory

- Category $\mathbf{C}=(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, \circ)$
- Set of objects $\mathcal{O}$ (acting as multigraph vertices)
- Set of morphisms $\mathcal{M}$ (acting as directed edges)
- Each modeled as an arrow $f: A \rightarrow B$ with objects $A, B$
- Composition operation o for the morphisms
- Requirements
- Transitivity: $g \circ f \in \mathcal{M}$ for any suitable morphisms $f, g$
- Associativity: $h \circ(g \circ f)=(h \circ g) \circ f$ for any suitable $f, g, h$
- Identities: identity morphism $1_{A}$ for any object $A$ such that $f \circ 1_{A}=f=1_{B} \circ f$ for any suitable morphism $f$
- Example
- Set: objects are sets, morphisms functions between them


## Broader Generalization

Spivak 2009

- Description of a schema of a relational database
- Objects for tables and generalized data types
- Morphisms for attributes and foreign keys
- And respective identity morphisms


Observations

- Compulsory single-column primary key, relational model only


## Broader Generalization

## Multi-model scenario

- Draft of a possible extension to the previous approach


Challenges

- Contents of key/value pairs (black boxes), ordered collections (JSON arrays), embedded structures (JSON subdocuments), shared morphisms across models (names of customers), directions of morphisms, compound primary keys, ...


## Conclusion

Observations

- Multi-model systems grow in importance
- Unifying conceptual framework is necessary
- Single-model solutions exist
- But they cannot be straightforwardly adopted

Particular challenges

- Schema design
- Data representation
- Unified querying
- Evolution management
- Autonomous database

Thank you for your attention...

