

Multi-Model Data Modeling and Representation: State of the Art and Research Challenges

Pavel Čontoš, Irena Holubová, Martin Svoboda svoboda@ksi.mff.cuni.cz

July 14, 2021

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Data Variety

Structure of data

- Logical models
 - Relational, key/value, wide column, document, graph, ...
- Data formats
 - XML or JSON for the document model, ...
- Schemas
 - DTD or XML Schema schema languages, ...
- Vocabularies
 - Names of XML elements or attributes, ...

Other aspects

- Technologies: implementations, interfaces, protocols, ...
- Query languages: syntax, constructs, expressive power

Database Systems

Traditional approach

- Relational databases
 - Primary option for decades
- Alternatives
 - Native XML databases, RDF stores, ...

NoSQL databases

- Core models
 - Key/value, wide column, document, graph
- Finding the best model respecting the nature of data / queries
 - Not always possible

Multi-model databases

Multiple models supported within just a single system

Sample Database

Multi-model scenario

relational table $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

customer	name	address	credit
1	Mary		3 000
2	Anne		2 000
3	John		5 000

property graph G



document collection D

{ order : 220, paid : true, items : [{ product : T1, name : toy, price : 200, quantity : 2 }, { product : B4, name : book, price : 150, quantity : 1 }] }

wide-column table w

customer orders

1	[220, 230, 270,]	
2	[10, 217]	
3	[370, 214, 94, 137]	

key/value pairs κ

customer		cart
1		product: T1, name: toy, quantity: 2 product: B4, name: book, quantity: 1
2		product: G1, name: glasses, quantity: 1 product: B2, name: book, quantity: 1
3	\rightarrow	product: B3, name: book, quantity: 2

Multi-Model Databases

Multi-model databases

- One database for several different data models at a time
 - Provides a fully integrated backend
- More than 20 representatives
 - E.g.: OrientDB, ArangoDB, MarkLogic, Virtuoso, ...

Issues and challenges

- Underlying models
 - Number of supported models, non-equal roles, ...
- Cross-model processing
 - Links between the models, querying, indexing, ...
- Formal background
 - Proprietary solutions (often not well documented)

Paper Objectives

Formal unifying framework is necessary

- Solid theoretical background
- But still user-friendly enough

Our objective

- Survey of existing approaches that could be exploited
 - Conceptual modeling
 - Data representation
 - Integrity constraints
 - Evolution management
 - ..

Conceptual Modeling

ER (Entity-Relationship model)

• Entity types, relationship types, attributes, identifiers, ...



 Not standardized, various notations, structured attributes, identifiers for relationship types, participants of weak relationship types, non-unique or ordered values, ...

Conceptual Modeling

UML (Unified Modeling Language)

• Classes, associations, attributes, ...



• Standardized, data oriented (conceals details such as weak entity types), ...

Data Representation

NoAM (NoSQL Abstract Model)

- Data model
 - Database = set of collections, each with a unique name
 - Collection = set of blocks, each with a unique identifier
 - Block = set of entries, each with a unique key
 - Entry = key / value pair, values can be simple or complex
- Different strategies
 - Entry per Aggregate Object / Entry per Top-Level Field

220	ε	{ paid : true, items : [{ product : T1 , }] }	
			1

220	paid	true
	items	[{ product : T1 , }]

 Aggregate-oriented models only (key/value, wide column, document), considered separately

Data Representation

Associative Arrays

relational table T

- 2-dimensional matrix
 - $A: K_1 \times K_2 \to \mathbb{V}$
 - Mapping from row and column keys to values

3

	name	address	credit
1	Mary		3 000
2	Anne		2 000
3	John		5 000

property graph G 2

1 0 1 1

2 0 0 1

3 0 0 0 document collection D

		order	paid	items/product	items/name	
	001	220	true			
	001/001			Т1	toy	
	001/002			B4	book	

Not straightforward for all models, matrix operations

Integrity Constraints

OCL (Object Constraint Language)

- Constructs
 - Pre-conditions and post-conditions for methods and operations
 - Rules for initial or derived values of attributes
 - Invariants = assertions data instances must satisfy
- Example
 - Each order must have at least one ordered item
 - context Customer inv :

self.Orders->forAll(o | o.Items->size() >= 1)

- Observations
 - Complex, conceptual layer

Evolution Management

DaemonX

- Evolution management framework
 - Platform-independent model (PIM)
 - Individual single model platform-specific models (PSMs)
 - Schema, operational, and extensional levels
- Correct and complete propagation of evolution changes



Without inter-model links, without cross-model queries

Broader Generalization

Category theory

- Category $\mathbf{C} = (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}, \circ)$
 - Set of objects O (acting as multigraph vertices)
 - Set of morphisms *M* (acting as directed edges)
 - $-\;$ Each modeled as an arrow $f\colon A\to B$ with objects A,B
 - Composition operation ofor the morphisms
- Requirements
 - Transitivity: $g \circ f \in \mathcal{M}$ for any suitable morphisms f, g
 - Associativity: $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$ for any suitable f, g, h
 - Identities: identity morphism 1_A for any object A such that $f \circ 1_A = f = 1_B \circ f$ for any suitable morphism f
- Example
 - Set: objects are sets, morphisms functions between them

Broader Generalization

Spivak 2009

• Description of a schema of a relational database

- Objects for tables and generalized data types
- Morphisms for attributes and foreign keys
 - And respective identity morphisms



Observations

Compulsory single-column primary key, relational model only

Broader Generalization

Multi-model scenario

Draft of a possible extension to the previous approach



Challenges

 Contents of key/value pairs (black boxes), ordered collections (JSON arrays), embedded structures (JSON subdocuments), shared morphisms across models (names of customers), directions of morphisms, compound primary keys, ...

Conclusion

Observations

- Multi-model systems grow in importance
- Unifying conceptual framework is necessary
 - Single-model solutions exist
 - But they cannot be straightforwardly adopted

Particular challenges

- Schema design
- Data representation
- Unified querying
- Evolution management
- Autonomous database

Thank you for your attention...